

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,340 07/09/2003			Hideaki Kato	T36-156717M/AIO NGB.267	8712	
21254	7590	08/09/2005		EXAMINER		
MCGINN &	GIBB,	PLLC	TRAN, CHUC			
	OURTH	OUSE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 200 VIENNA, V	A 2218	2-3817		2821		
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	K	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/615,340	KATO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chuc D. Tran	2821	

Advisory Action	10/015,540	NATO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Chuc D. Tran	2821					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	•	•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	., ,		the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jecteu ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:		•					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but In response to the Applicant's Remarks submitted on Jusuagest or even mention "rearranging the LEDS to make Examiner respectfully disagree. The patent by Vazan clauserection memory (41) (Col. 4, Line 29) and the LED public substantially equal See (Col. 3, Line 63) (Col. 6, I	uly 8, 2005, Applicants argue that to the characteristic values of adjacterity teach in Fig. 4 a comparator rintbar Control Circuit (22) to make	he patent by Vazan dent LEDs substantial (48) rearranging the Letthe characteristic va	oes not teach or ly equal. The EDS into the				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		" lock					

HOANG V. NGUYEN **PRIMARY EXAMINER**